

I have had the opportunity to engage with the applicant and your good selves. During this process many questions have been asked about this development and the effects.

It is very obvious that the key questions raised by both the public and expectorant that the answers / documentation provided are woful in accurate content. The applicant has provided an answer to tick a box not to satisfy all parties involved and therefore swerved the real question.

The following (in no particular order) whilst not limited to are the key unanswered areas in my opinion.

1, Solar Panel Performance - lots of numbers but no evidence.

2, Effects on the local community - none according to the applicant! Combined impact with the oter proposed developments?

3, The impact on the views across the Trent Valley - none according to the applicant!

4, Cost of decommissioning - I understand the landowner is now responsible? How will this work - not enforceable

5, Flooding - the area proposed is a natural flood area and will only increase with solar installation. I understand that a recent legal case has ruled that where changes in the land have occurred leading to increased flooding is not seen as an act of god. The responsibility / liability has shifted to the author of the changes, insurance / risk assessments. Where are the proposals to account for this?

6, The land - exhausted the seach for brown field sites!

Why are we destroying good farming land. The yield from these fields are some of the best in the UK

7, Food security - world wide events are having a major impact on the supply of base substances, food security is a weapon of war and must be taken seriously.

8 Fire - no plan on handling this, insufficient containment strategy, contaminated water / land / water returned to the river, evacuation planning FB access.

9, BES, noise levels / wind direction analysis

10, Panel production from CHINA - who are making these? I understand the Uyghur population are basically forced labour. Interestingly America has banned the import of these products and the government has raised concerns.

11, Land management is the responsibility of the landowner, how will this be managed to ensure obligations will be fore-filled?

Summary

The applicants have not provided answers just misleading facts, this on its own should put into question the applications viability.

I am all for renewable energy however in this case roof tops for solar, residential and commercial are the answer as may other countries have opted for.

The construction period will be years and the grid has a limited amount of connections so therefore the most efficient / low emission must take president in connected. Small nuclear system seem to be the obvious answer.

Appreciate you time in digesting my representation.